

<b>Application No.</b> 09/540,238	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHAGANTY ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b> LEYNNA T. HA	<b>Art Unit</b> 2135	

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:35 AM

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Applicant's response (8/1/05) to the examiner's answer to Appeal Brief (6/30/2005) includes amending claims 23 and 40 placing claims 23-24 and 26-46 in condition for allowance. However, the examiner's answer indicated that the appeal involves claim 57-63 as well, but was not amended. Thus, the examiner inquired the status of claim 57 whether Mr.Volejnicek's intention was to continue to challenge claims 57-63 for Appeal or to amend for allowability. Mr.Volejnicek responded he would have to look over the application. The examiner requests that Mr.Volejnicek to fax in the amended claim 57 if applicants agrees for the amendment.

On October 21, 2005: The examiner received a fax to grant an examiner's amendment for claim 57. Thus, places claims 57-63 allowable.